



## **Rating Action: Moody's Ratings takes actions on 11 Argentinean regional and local governments following sovereign upgrade**

---

22 Jul 2026

New York, July 22, 2026 -- Moody's Ratings (Moody's) has today taken rating actions on 11 Argentinean regional and local governments (RLGs):

We upgraded the baseline credit assessment (BCA) to b1 from b2 and senior unsecured ratings to B1 from B2 of the City of Buenos Aires and maintained its stable outlook.

We upgraded the BCAs to b2 from b3 and ratings to B2 from B3 of the Provinces of Cordoba, Mendoza and Santa Fe, and the BCAs to caa2 from caa3 and ratings to Caa2 from Caa3 of the Provinces of Buenos Aires and Chaco, maintaining their stable outlooks.

Concurrently, we upgraded the BCAs to b3 from caa1 and ratings to B3 from Caa1 of the Province of Entre Rios and the Municipality of Cordoba, and changed their outlooks to positive from stable.

We affirmed the BCA at caa1 and issuer rating at Caa1 of the Province of Rio Negro, and changed its outlook to positive from stable.

Additionally, we affirmed the BCA at caa1 and ratings at Caa1 of the Province of Chubut, and the BCA at caa2 and ratings at Caa2 of the Province of Tierra del Fuego, and maintained their stable outlooks.

The rating actions were prompted by the upgrade to B3, from Caa1, of the Government of Argentina's (Argentina) sovereign issuer rating, the raise in the foreign currency (FC) country ceiling to B1 from B2 and the change of the outlook on Argentina's ratings to positive, from stable. For further information on the sovereign rating action, please refer to the press release dated 21 July 2026 [1].

Please click on this link [https://ratings.moody's.com/documents/PBC\\_ARETL527084](https://ratings.moody's.com/documents/PBC_ARETL527084) for the List of Affected Credit Ratings. This list is an integral part of this Press Release and identifies each affected issuer.

### **RATINGS RATIONALE**

The credit quality of Argentinean RLGs remains closely linked to that of the sovereign, reflecting their reliance on federally shared revenues and exposure to national macroeconomic and financial conditions. Stronger sovereign credit fundamentals support subnational credit profiles through improved funding conditions, enhanced market access, reduced external vulnerabilities and greater predictability in the operating environment. At the same time, changes in macroeconomic conditions continue to influence revenue generation and transfer dynamics across the sector.

Argentina's improving macroeconomic and external position has supported liquidity and access to financing for some RLGs, while lower foreign-currency risks have strengthened financial flexibility. However, fiscal performance has moderated through 2025 and into 2026, reflecting softer revenue growth amid the country's uneven economic recovery, the fading of inflation-related gains that previously supported fiscal results and diminished federal transfers. Rising expenditure pressures at the subnational level have also reduced fiscal buffers from the exceptionally strong levels recorded in previous years.

Fiscal performance for RLGs is expected to remain more moderate over the next 12 to 18 months and increasingly different across issuers, reflecting both the evolving sovereign environment and underlying

differences in regional economic and financial fundamentals.

#### **RATIONALE FOR UPGRADING THE RATINGS OF FOUR REGIONAL AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND MAINTAINING THEIR STABLE OUTLOOKS**

For the City of Buenos Aires, the upgrade to b1 from b2 of the BCA and to B1 from B2 of the senior unsecured debt ratings incorporates our expectations that the city will continue to exhibit the strongest credit profile relative to its regional peers, as measured by its operating and financial results, low dependence on federal transfers and low refinancing needs. In our view, the City of Buenos Aires is the most resilient Argentinean RLG because of its low funding needs manageable foreign-currency debt exposure given its strong cash generation and ample liquidity position. The rating also takes into account the issuer's track record of effective liability management during economic downturns.

For the Provinces of Cordoba, Mendoza and Santa Fe, the upgrade to b2 from b3 of the BCAs and to B2 from B3 for the senior unsecured debt and issuer ratings reflects their adequate revenue bases, strong economic fundamentals and track records of prudent fiscal policies, which have resulted in solid operating performance and positioned their credit profiles above that of the Government of Argentina. These provinces have historically maintained moderate debt levels, adequate liquidity and manageable refinancing requirements, supporting financial flexibility. Recent international debt issuances have further strengthened the debt profiles of Cordoba and Santa Fe, while Mendoza continues to benefit from consistent access to local capital markets and prudent financial management.

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that these RLGs will maintain financial metrics consistent with their current credit profiles over the medium term, supported by strong liquidity positions, comfortable debt amortization profiles and continued prudent fiscal management.

#### **RATIONALE FOR UPGRADING THE RATINGS OF TWO REGIONAL AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND CHANGING THE OUTLOOKS TO POSITIVE FROM STABLE**

For the Province of Entre Rios, the upgrade to b3 from caa1 of the BCA and to B3 from Caa1 for the issuer and senior unsecured debt ratings reflects the province's improved debt profile following recent liability management, which has eased near term refinancing pressures and contributed to a more manageable maturity profile. The ratings also incorporate moderate leverage and a diversified economic base, while the credit profile remains constrained by weak and volatile operating and cash financing results, moderate liquidity, persistent pension related expenditure pressures and high exposure to foreign currency debt.

For the Municipality of Cordoba, the upgrade to b3 from caa1 of the BCA and to B3 from Caa1 for the issuer and senior unsecured debt ratings reflects its relatively strong standalone credit profile, supported by a high share of own source revenues, sustained operating surpluses and a declining debt burden. These strengths are balanced by persistent cash financing deficits, moderate liquidity and exposure to foreign currency debt, although the municipality's relatively short and declining amortization profile provides some financial flexibility.

The positive outlooks reflect our expectation that both issuers could further strengthen their credit profiles over time in a context of improving sovereign credit conditions and reduced systemic risks. This incorporates our view that these RLGs will continue to improve their own financial metrics through a gradual improvement in operating performance and deleveraging along with prudent liquidity management.

#### **RATIONALE FOR AFFIRMING THE RATING OF PROVINCE OF RIO NEGRO AND CHANGING ITS OUTLOOK TO POSITIVE FROM STABLE**

For the Province of Rio Negro, the affirmation of the BCA at caa1 and the issuer rating and senior unsecured debt rating at Caa1 reflects a still constrained credit profile, characterized by weak and volatile operating and cash financing results, tight liquidity and high exposure to foreign currency debt. These constraints are partly mitigated by moderate leverage, a declining interest burden and the province's exposure to hydrocarbon related activity, which supports its medium term economic recovery prospects.

The positive outlook reflects our view that improving sovereign credit conditions and the prospect that

expanding energy and mining activity, including progress in LNG related infrastructure, could gradually improve the regional economic activity and support the province's fiscal profile.

#### RATIONALE FOR AFFIRMING THE RATINGS OF TWO REGIONAL AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND MAINTAINING THEIR STABLE OUTLOOKS

For the Province of Chubut, the affirmation of the BCA at caa1 and the issuer rating and senior secured debt rating at Caa1 reflects a still constrained credit profile, driven by weakening and volatile operating performance, rising leverage and high exposure to foreign currency debt. Credit fundamentals have deteriorated in the last 12 months, with lower operating margins and financing deficits alongside increasing debt levels, although US dollar linked hydrocarbon revenues and recent liability management partly mitigate liquidity pressures and support a more manageable debt amortization profile.

For the Province of Tierra del Fuego, the affirmation of the BCA at caa2 and the issuer rating and senior secured debt rating at Caa2 reflects a weak standalone credit profile, consistent with the rating level. The province is characterized by deteriorating operating performance, persistent cash financing deficits and limited liquidity, which increase its reliance on external financing. While relatively low debt levels provide some financial flexibility, this strength is offset by very high exposure to foreign currency debt and a weakening royalty base, which reduces the stabilizing effect of its economic fundamentals.

The stable outlooks reflect risks balanced at the current rating levels. For both issuers, persistent fiscal and liquidity pressures and continued exposure to foreign currency risk are expected to constrain their credit profiles. In addition, the structural decline of conventional hydrocarbon production and associated royalty revenues continues to weigh on revenue stability, limiting the potential for a sustained improvement in financial metrics despite gradually normalizing operating conditions.

#### RATIONALE FOR UPGRADING THE RATINGS OF TWO REGIONAL AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND MAINTAINING THEIR STABLE OUTLOOKS

For the Province of Buenos Aires, the upgrade to caa2 from caa3 of the BCA and to Caa2 from Caa3 for the issuer and senior unsecured debt ratings reflects improved systemic conditions for Argentinean RLGs, alongside relatively more stable operating performance and continued access to domestic funding. These ratings acknowledge the province's large and diversified economic base and solid own source revenue generation, that are counterbalanced by high leverage, persistent financing deficits, a challenging amortization profile and significant exposure to foreign currency debt, which increase refinancing risks and constrain financial flexibility.

For the Province of Chaco, the upgrade to caa2 from caa3 of the BCA and to Caa2 from Caa3 for the issuer and senior unsecured debt ratings incorporates improved systemic conditions but continues to reflect a very weak standalone credit profile. The province is characterized by structurally weak operating performance, persistent financing deficits, very limited liquidity and high reliance on federal transfers, alongside exposure to foreign currency debt. While debt levels remain moderate, limited revenue generation capacity and rigid expenditure dynamics constrain its ability to absorb shocks and weaken visibility on debt service capacity.

The stable outlooks reflect risks balanced at the current rating levels. For both issuers, persistent fiscal and liquidity pressures, alongside exposure to foreign currency risk and refinancing needs, are expected to continue to constrain further improvement in their credit profiles, despite improving systemic conditions and continued access to domestic funding.

#### FACTORS THAT COULD LEAD TO AN UPGRADE OR DOWNGRADE OF THE RATINGS

##### WHAT COULD MOVE THE RATINGS UP/DOWN FOR RLGs RATED ABOVE THE SOVEREIGN

Given that these issuers are rated above the sovereign, an upgrade would depend on maintaining a clear differentiation in their credit profiles relative to the Government of Argentina, supported by continued strong operating performance, high debt affordability and very strong liquidity positions compared to that of their peers.

Downward pressure could arise from a weakening in operating performance relative to our expectations, particularly if this results in lower operating margins or pressures on cash financing results. A deterioration in liquidity or funding conditions, or a convergence of their credit profiles toward that of the sovereign, would also weigh on the ratings. In addition, a downgrade of the sovereign rating would have negative implications for these issuers' ratings.

#### WHAT COULD MOVE THE RATINGS UP/DOWN FOR RLGs RATED B3

Given that these issuers are rated at the same level as the sovereign, an upgrade would at least require an upgrade of the sovereign rating.

Downward pressure could arise from a sustained weakening in operating performance relative to our expectations, particularly if this results in persistently lower margins and weaker cash financing results. Similarly, a material increase in debt beyond our current projections, or a deterioration in liquidity and funding conditions, would weigh on the ratings by reducing financial flexibility and increasing refinancing risks. Moreover, a downgrade of the sovereign rating would exert downward pressure on the ratings.

#### WHAT COULD MOVE THE RATINGS UP/DOWN FOR RLGs RATED BELOW THE SOVEREIGN

An upgrade would require higher than currently expected operating performance, leading to improved debt affordability and a strengthening in the liquidity position.

Downward pressure could arise from a sustained weakening in operating performance relative to our expectations, particularly if this results in persistently lower margins and weaker cash financing results. Similarly, a material increase in debt beyond our current projections, or a deterioration in liquidity and funding conditions, would weigh on the ratings by reducing financial flexibility and increasing refinancing risks. Moreover, a downgrade of the sovereign rating would exert downward pressure on the ratings.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL AND GOVERNANCE CONSIDERATIONS

Overall, ESG considerations are moderate (CIS-3) for most Argentinean RLGs. For some issuers, ESG considerations have a more pronounced impact on credit quality (CIS-4). For the City of Buenos Aires ESG considerations are not material (CIS-2).

Exposure to environmental risks is generally elevated (E-4), reflecting physical climate risks, water stress and the potential loss of natural capital. These considerations are particularly relevant for jurisdictions with economic exposure to agriculture, energy, mining and other natural resource-based activities. Environmental risks for the City of Buenos Aires are more moderate (E-3), reflecting its diversified urban economy and limited exposure to natural resource-based activities.

RLGs' exposure to social risks is generally moderate to high (S-3 to S-4), reflecting labor and income-related challenges, poverty and broader socioeconomic pressures. These factors can increase expenditure demands and constrain growth in locally generated revenues, particularly in provinces with weaker socioeconomic profiles.

Governance considerations generally range from moderate to high (G-3 to G-4), reflecting Argentina's challenging operating environment, varying degrees of financial flexibility and the quality of financial management practices across issuers.

#### METHODOLOGY

The principal methodology used in these ratings was Regional and Local Governments published in May 2026 and available at <https://ratings.moodys.com/rmc-documents/466164>. Alternatively, please see the Rating Methodologies page on <https://ratings.moodys.com> for a copy of this methodology.

For the Municipality of Cordoba and Provinces of Chubut, Cordoba, Entre Rios, Mendoza, Santa Fe and Rio Negro, the net effect of any adjustments applied to rating factor scores or scorecard outputs under the primary methodology(ies), if any, was not material to the ratings addressed in this announcement.

The assigned BCAs of caa2 for the Province of Buenos Aires, Chaco and Tierra del Fuego are two notches below the scorecard-indicated BCAs of b3. This primarily reflects additional factors not fully considered in the scorecard. Specifically, we take into consideration the provinces' prospects of weak financial performance and challenging debt amortization profiles. The combination of these factors reduces their capacity to internally fund upcoming debt maturities and constrains financial flexibility.

The assigned BCA of b1 for the City of Buenos Aires is one notch above the scorecard indicated BCA of b2. This difference notably reflects that the issuer has a considerably stronger fundamental credit profile than the sovereign, coupled with a strong liquidity position and comfortable amortization profile.

## REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

The List of Affected Credit Ratings announced here are a mix of solicited and unsolicited credit ratings. For additional information, please refer to Moody's Policy for Designating and Assigning Unsolicited Credit Ratings available on its website <https://ratings.moodys.com>. Additionally, the List of Affected Credit Ratings includes additional disclosures that vary with regard to some of the ratings. Please click on this link [https://ratings.moodys.com/documents/PBC\\_ARFTL527084](https://ratings.moodys.com/documents/PBC_ARFTL527084) for the List of Affected Credit Ratings. This list is an integral part of this Press Release and provides, for each of the credit ratings covered, Moody's disclosures on the following items:

- EU Endorsement Status
- UK Endorsement Status
- Rating Solicitation
- Issuer Participation
- Participation: Access to Management
- Participation: Access to Internal Documents
- Lead Analyst
- Releasing Office

For further specification of Moody's key rating assumptions and sensitivity analysis, see the sections Methodology Assumptions and Sensitivity to Assumptions in the disclosure form. Moody's Rating Symbols and Definitions can be found on <https://ratings.moodys.com/rating-definitions>.

For any affected securities or rated entities receiving direct credit support/credit substitution from another entity or entities subject to a credit rating action (the supporting entity), and whose ratings may change as a result of a credit rating action as to the supporting entity, the associated regulatory disclosures will relate to the supporting entity. Exceptions to this approach may be applicable in certain jurisdictions.

For ratings issued on a program, series, category/class of debt or security, certain regulatory disclosures applicable to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series, category/class of debt, or security, or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings, in accordance with Moody's rating practices, can be found in the most recent Credit Rating Announcement related to the same class of Credit Rating.

For provisional ratings, the Credit Rating Announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating.

Moody's does not always publish a separate Credit Rating Announcement for each Credit Rating assigned in the Anticipated Ratings Process or Subsequent Ratings Process.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

#### REFERENCES/CITATIONS

[1] <https://ratings.moodys.com/ratings-news/469595>

Please see <https://ratings.moodys.com> for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the issuer/deal page on <https://ratings.moodys.com> for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Philipp Toculescu  
AVP - Ratings

Cristiane Spercel  
Exec Dir - Ratings

Releasing Office:  
Moody's Investors Service, Inc.  
250 Greenwich Street  
New York, NY 10007  
U.S.A.  
JOURNALISTS: 1 212 553 0376  
Client Service: 1 212 553 1653

---

© 2026 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AFFILIATES ARE THEIR CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, SERVICES AND INFORMATION PUBLISHED OR OTHERWISE MADE AVAILABLE BY MOODY'S (COLLECTIVELY, "MATERIALS") MAY INCLUDE SUCH CURRENT OPINIONS. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE APPLICABLE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS, NON-CREDIT ASSESSMENTS ("ASSESSMENTS"), AND OTHER OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S MATERIALS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S MATERIALS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. AND/OR ITS AFFILIATES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND MATERIALS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE LEGAL, COMPLIANCE, INVESTMENT, FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVICE, AND MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND MATERIALS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND MATERIALS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS AND OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLISHES

OR OTHERWISE MAKES AVAILABLE ITS MATERIALS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND MATERIALS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR MATERIALS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. FOR CLARITY, NO INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN MAY BE USED TO DEVELOP, IMPROVE, TRAIN OR RETRAIN ANY SOFTWARE PROGRAM OR DATABASE, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, FOR ANY ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE, MACHINE LEARNING OR NATURAL LANGUAGE PROCESSING SOFTWARE, ALGORITHM, METHODOLOGY AND/OR MODEL.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND MATERIALS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating or assessment is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the credit rating or assessment process or in preparing its Materials.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating or assessment assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any

person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT, OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it. MCO and all MCO entities that issue ratings under the "Moody's Ratings" brand name ("Moody's Ratings"), also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of Moody's Ratings' credit ratings and credit rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold credit ratings from Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.ir.moodys.com](http://www.ir.moodys.com) under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Charter and Governance Documents - Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Moody's SF Japan K.K., Moody's Local AR Agente de Calificación de Riesgo S.A., Moody's Local BR Agência de Classificação de Risco LTDA, Moody's Local MX S.A. de C.V., I.C.V., Moody's Local PE Clasificadora de Riesgo S.A., Moody's Local PA Calificadora de Riesgo S.A., Moody's Local CR Calificadora de Riesgo S.A., Moody's Local ES S.A. de CV Clasificadora de Riesgo, Moody's Local RD Sociedad Calificadora de Riesgo S.R.L. and Moody's Local GT S.A.(collectively, the "Moody's Non-NRSRO CRAs") are all indirectly wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiaries of MCO. None of the Moody's Non-NRSRO CRAs is a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization.

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for India only: Moody's credit ratings, Assessments, other opinions and Materials are not intended to be and shall not be relied upon or used by any users located in India in relation to securities listed or proposed to be listed on Indian stock exchanges.

Additional terms with respect to Second Party Opinions and Net Zero Assessments (as defined in Moody's Ratings Rating Symbols and Definitions): Please note that neither a Second Party Opinion ("SPO") nor a Net Zero Assessment ("NZA") is a "credit rating". The issuance of SPOs and NZAs is not a regulated activity in many jurisdictions, including Singapore. EU: In the European Union, each of Moody's Deutschland GmbH and Moody's France SAS provide services as an external reviewer in accordance with the applicable requirements of the EU Green Bond Regulation. JAPAN: In Japan, development and provision of SPOs and NZAs fall under the category of "Ancillary Businesses", not "Credit Rating Business", and are not subject to the regulations applicable to "Credit Rating Business" under the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act of Japan and its relevant regulation. PRC: Any SPO: (1) does not constitute a PRC Green Bond Assessment as defined under any relevant PRC laws or regulations; (2) cannot be included in any registration statement, offering circular, prospectus or any other documents submitted to the PRC regulatory authorities or otherwise used to satisfy any PRC regulatory disclosure requirement; and (3) cannot be used within the PRC for any regulatory purpose or for any other purpose which is not permitted under relevant PRC laws or regulations. For the purposes of this disclaimer, "PRC" refers to the mainland of the People's Republic of China, excluding Hong Kong, Macau and Taiwan.